	Case 2:21-cv-00640-MCE-DMC Docume	ent 191	Filed 02/24/23	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	BOBBY WARREN, et al.,	No.	2:21-cv-640-MC	CE-KJN	
12	Plaintiffs,	ORI	DER AFTER INFO	ORMAL CONFERENC	<u>E</u>
13	V.	(ECI	F No. 189.)		
14	CITY OF CHICO, et al.,				
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	The court held an informal conference in this matter on February 22, 2023. For the				
18	reasons stated at the hearing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:				
19	1. When O&E Staff determine there is not appropriate shelter space for a homeless person				
20	(pursuant to par. 10(m) of the agreement), and the City chooses to have this person be				
21	provided with alternate sites, it must provide three alternative sites, given the language in				
22	the agreement. The City and plaintiff's counsel shall confer over the current planned				
23	enforcement action (at the encampment located at Eaton Road and Cohasset Road)				
24	regarding the availability of alternate sites, and as expressed at the hearing, the City may				
25	continue with its enforcement action if at least two alternate sites are available with				
26	plaintiffs to make a third site promptly available. Thereafter, three sites are required. The				
27	City and plaintiff's counsel shall confer over the design of these three sites, including a				
28	proposed subdivision of one lot into t		if those sites are i	reasonably distinct. Th	e
		1			

Case 2:21-cv-00640-MCE-DMC Document 191 Filed 02/24/23 Page 2 of 2 1 City may reasonably regulate these alternate sites. 2 2. If, after a referral to an alternate site, the homeless person goes to one of the three 3 alternate sites, the City may not enforce against that person for at least 60 days, as agreed 4 to in par. 10(m). However, the City is not barred from conducting an enforcement action 5 at those locations against other individuals at those alternate sites (provided the 6 procedures in the settlement agreement are followed) against other homeless persons who 7 are not entitled to the benefit of the 60-day protection under par. 10(m). 8 3. Regarding individuals with RVs located in an area where the City has given notice of a 9 planned enforcement, the City need not count those individuals when conducting the pre-10 enforcement count. However, O&E Staff shall include those individuals in their outreach 11 and assessment processes, and offer those individuals shelter if appropriate 12 4. Regarding a homeless person who has been previously assessed but not staying at the 13 location for which they were assessed as appropriate, the City need not count those 14 individuals when conducting a pre-enforcement count. However, O&E Staff shall 15 continue their outreach and assessment for these individuals, and once again offer them 16 shelter if appropriate. 17 Dated: February 24, 2023 18 19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE warr.640 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

28